General Motif Characteristics:

Top Similar Looking Motifs:

HTSELEX.TCF4.bHLH.DBD.dimeric.wm1	0.000	
HTSELEX.TCF3.bHLH.DBD.dimeric.wm1	0.005	
HTSELEX.ID4.bHLH.DBD.dimeric.wm1	0.013	
${\rm H\!O\!M\!E\!R}.{\rm E}{\rm 2}{\rm A}{\rm -near}{\rm PU}.1({\rm H\!L}{\rm H}).{\rm Bcell}{\rm -\!PU}.1-{\rm ChlP}{\rm -\!P}{\rm I}$	-Seq(GSE21512)	0.013
HTSELEX.MESP1.bHLH.DBD.dimeric.wm1	0.016	
HCMC.ITF2_f1.wm 0.026		
HTSELEX.FIGLA.bHLH.DBD.dimeric.wm1	0.042	
HTSELEX.TCF4.bHLH.full.dimeric.wm1	0.046	
ENCODE.ZEB1 0.050		
HTSELEX.SNAI2.C2H2.DBD.monomeric.wm1	0.051	

Statistics:

- Motif name: HTSELEX.TCF4.bHLH.DBD.dimeric.wm1
- Enrichment: 4.25040048752
- log-Likelihood Ratio: 723.506605428
- Area under precision recall curve: 0.6326



Figure 1: Logo of Weight Matrix



Figure 2: Precision and Recall curve

Statistics for TFBS - Peak Centering and Peak Posterior - Peak Height Correlation:

0.1

Overall statistics:

- Number of true peaks out of total number of peaks: 4891/7427
- 65.85 percent are true.
- Cut-off: minimum summed posterior of 0.20
- Peak plots contain TFBS of posterior >= 0.20
- Statistic for centering of TFBSs at peak centers:
 - Enrichment at binding sites: 2.2538

Correlation between peak Z-score and number of binding sites at peak: 0.3737



Figure 3: Scatter plot of peak Z-score versus number of binding sites at peak



Figure 4: Violin plot of peak Z-score versus number of binding sites at peak



Figure 5: Histogram of number of binding sites at peaks



Figure 6: Histogram of distances of TFBS to its nearest peak center



Figure 7: Histograms of coverage at sites and in total regions